

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**BEFORE THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
ENERGY FACILITY SITE EVALUATION COUNCIL**

In the Matter of Application No. 96-1,
Olympic Pipe Line Company
Cross Cascade Pipeline Project

EXHIBIT _____ (EA-T)

PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY
WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FISH & WILDLIFE
WITNESS: ERIC ANDERSON
(Fish and Wildlife Resources and Project Impacts: Snoqualmie Pass to Columbia River)

1 **Q: Please state your name, business address, position, professional experience, and**
2 **education.**

3
4
5 A: My name is Eric Anderson. My business address is Department of Fish and Wildlife,
6 1701 S. 24th Ave., Yakima, WA 98902. I am District Fish Biologist in Yakima and
7 Kittitas Counties for the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW). My
8 duties include planning, coordinating and implementing all professional fish management
9 activities for all waters in the two-county area. My primary responsibilities are to manage
10 each body of water with the goal to preserve, protect and perpetuate the inland (resident)
11 fish resources while maximizing recreational opportunity with special emphasis on
12 maintaining healthy wild native fish stocks. Part of my duties also include assisting
13 WDFW staff with anadromous fish (salmon, steelhead) management and restoration.

14
15
16 I have worked as a district fish biologist since 1988. In 1993, while on a special
17 assignment, I assisted with the development of the Washington State Bull Trout/Dolly
18 Varden Management Plan Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement. Prior to my
19 employment as a district fish biologist, I worked for the WDFW as a supervisor of the
20 Snake River Fish Counting Projects. My prior work history also includes employment as
21 a fisheries research biologist for the Upper Columbia United Tribes.
22

23
24
25 In the course of my present duties with WDFW, I inventory fish species in streams and
26 lakes; plan and conduct spawning ground surveys; determine the status of fish stocks;

1 quantify angler use and harvest; set fish stocking levels in lakes and ponds; write fishing
2 regulations; review and comment on development projects that may affect the fisheries
3 resources in my district; summarize data and write reports.
4

5
6 My education includes a Master of Science in Biology from Eastern Washington
7 University and my thesis work involved the food habits of fish. I have a diverse
8 background in fish management and limnology applications (limnology is the science of
9 fresh waters, and refers to the study of both standing and flowing aquatic systems, it
10 includes physical, chemical and biological components). I have co-authored a number of
11 publications and reports involving fish community structure and dynamics
12

13
14 **Q: What is the purpose of your testimony?**
15

16 A: The primary purpose of my testimony is to provide information on the resident and
17 anadromous fish species present along the proposed Cross Cascade Pipeline route in the
18 upper Yakima River basin. My district covers the proposed route from Snoqualmie Pass
19 eastward along the Yakima River corridor to the Columbia River. While I am concerned
20 about a number of potential construction and operation impacts, my most significant
21 concerns are the potential for increased sedimentation and flow alteration from trenching
22 and other activities near waterways. Obviously, potential spill or breaching of the line
23 would be devastating as well, particularly on threatened and sensitive species. These and
24 other species of special concern are noted in the following discussion.
25
26

1 Generally speaking, the Department reviews projects like the pipeline by examining the
2 impacts through three “screens.” The first screen is that mandated by statute, that is to
3 preserve, protect and perpetuate the fish and wildlife resources. By this screen, the
4 Department attempts to protect and at least consider the impacts to the ecosystem as a
5 whole. The ecosystem is important because it provides the fundamental building blocks
6 and support systems for all of the fish and wildlife resources. The second screen focuses
7 on the resources which are socially; culturally; economically; historically; or otherwise
8 held more important or interesting by the public. Salmon and steelhead, along with the
9 other recreationally fished species are examples of these resources. Biologically
10 speaking, when considering the health of the ecosystem, some of the lesser known species
11 are probably equally important to the more publicly appreciated species such as salmon or
12 steelhead. However, the Department focuses more resources on the second screen
13 resources because of the increased demands and interactions with the public. The third
14 screen identifies those resources which become significant due to their drop in population
15 size or loss of habitat sufficient to cause species peril or possible extinction. Clearly, the
16 Department puts a high priority on those species which are both important to the public
17 and also in difficult straits.

21
22 My testimony below will identify the fish resources, their status and importance. I also
23 pose several major concerns about the impacts of the pipeline to the fishery resource and
24 their habitat. Beyond protecting remaining ecosystem habitats, my testimony focuses on
25 the impacts to those fish resources which are either in difficult situations or are those
26

1 usually most important to the public.

2
3 **Q: What resident native salmonid fish species are in the Project area and are there any**
4 **of special concern?**
5

6
7 A: Resident native salmonids (i.e., non migratory trout and whitefish originating in the area)
8 that currently exist in streams and lakes of the upper Yakima basin (along the pipeline
9 route) include bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*), westslope cutthroat trout
10 (*Oncorhynchus clarki*), rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), kokanee (*Oncorhynchus*
11 *nerka*), mountain whitefish (*Prosopium williamsoni*) and pygmy whitefish (*Prosopium*
12 *coulteri*). Eastern brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*) a non native (introduced) salmonid
13 is also present. Of the species listed above, those of special concern or with special status
14 designations include bull trout (listed as federal threatened), westslope cutthroat trout
15 (status is under review by U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service), and pygmy whitefish (state
16 sensitive).
17

18
19
20 The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) is currently reviewing information on
21 westslope cutthroat to determine if the species warrants listing under the federal
22 Endangered Species Act. At least in the Yakima basin, westslope cutthroat appear to be
23 fairly abundant and widely distributed, particularly in the upper reaches (higher
24 elevations) of tributaries to Keechelus Lake and the Yakima River. Cutthroat, as well as
25 other resident salmonid species provide recreational angling opportunities throughout the
26

1 upper basin. Resident rainbow trout and mountain whitefish angling in the upper Yakima
2 River and in the lower reaches of tributary streams is extremely popular. In fact, the trout
3 fishery in the upper Yakima River is considered one of the best “blue ribbon” catch-and-
4 release fisheries in Washington State.
5

6
7 **Q: What is the status of the anadromous fish species found in the Project area?**

8
9 A: Because of their great economic, cultural, historical and aesthetic value, the health and
10 well being of native anadromous species of fish such as salmon and steelhead, are a very
11 great concern in the Yakima basin. All of the anadromous stocks in the Yakima basin are
12 at depressed levels. Current efforts by state, federal and tribal entities to rebuild
13 depressed salmon and steelhead runs in the Yakima basin has gained considerable
14 notoriety throughout the Northwest. Millions of dollars have already been spent on
15 habitat protection activities, including the maintenance of adequate fish flows, installation
16 of fish screens to prevent stranding in irrigation canals and the construction of state of the
17 art hatchery supplementation facilities (WDFW & Yakima Indian Nation, 1996).
18
19 Anadromous species in the basin include steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*)
20 (proposed as a federal threatened species), spring and fall chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus*
21 *tshawytscha*), and coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*). Summer chinook may be
22 extinct in the Yakima basin but that has not been confirmed. Wild native sockeye
23 (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) are extinct but there are still a few stray hatchery fish that enter the
24 Yakima River. Although wild native coho are also extinct, through recent re-
25
26

1 introductions of juvenile fish in recent years, adult coho are returning to Yakima River
2 tributaries to spawn. Anadromous information derived from WDFW and YIN, 1996;
3 WDF and WDW, 1992.

4
5 Yakima spring chinook spawning occurs in the vicinity of the pipeline route. Spring
6 chinook spawn in the Yakima River from below Keechelus Dam downstream to Roza
7 Dam and in the Cle Elum River as well as the Naches watershed. In the upper Yakima,
8 spawning occurs in early to mid-September and usually peaks by late September. The fry
9 emerge from the gravel from late March to early June. Coho and fall chinook spawn in
10 mid to lower river areas downstream of the pipeline. Coho spawning occurs below Roza
11 Dam and in the Naches arm; most fall chinook spawning occurs below Prosser Dam.
12 Coho spawn in late October through November. Rainbow (steelhead) and cutthroat trout
13 spawn from February through June. However, steelhead have been observed in the
14 Ellensburg area spawning during the first week of July. Rainbow and cutthroat spawning
15 generally occurs earlier at lower elevations and later in colder headwater streams. Bull
16 trout spawn during September and early October (WDFW, 1998).

17
18
19
20
21 **Q: What is the status of the other resident fish species in the Project area?**

22
23 A: Thirty-seven resident non-salmonid species are present in the Yakima basin (information
24 derived from Pearsons et al. 1998). The most abundant non-salmonids in the upper
25 Yakima basin are speckled dace (*Rhinichthys osculus*), longnose dace (*Rhinichthys*
26

1 *cataractae*), redbside shiners (*Richardsonius balteatus*), northern pikeminnow
2 (*Ptychocheilus oregonensis*), largescale suckers (*Catostomus macrocheilus*), bridgelip
3 suckers (*Catostomus columbianus*), and several sculpin species, including mottled, torent,
4 piute and shorthead sculpins (*Cottus sp.*). Although these non-salmonid species do not
5 receive the notoriety of salmonids (trout, salmon, steelhead) or other lower river non-
6 salmonid game fish (such as bass and catfish) they are nevertheless an important
7 component of the aquatic environment. Most serve as forage for other game and food
8 fish. Burbot (*Lota lota*) is an important game fish present in Keechelus Lake.

10
11 Two other species although not as abundant as those listed above but important due to
12 their status are mountain sucker (*Catostomus platyrhynchus*) (a state candidate species)
13 and Pacific lamprey (*Lampetra tridentata*) (a federal species of concern). Mountain
14 suckers occur in the vicinity of the pipeline route and it is possible that lamprey do as
15 well, although few have been observed in the Yakima River. Although not listed in this
16 affidavit, there are numerous fish species inhabiting the mid to lower zones of the
17 Yakima River that may potentially be impacted by the proposed pipeline especially in the
18 event of an accidental spill or breeching of the line further upstream. For a complete fish
19 species list for the Yakima basin see Pearsons et al. 1998.

20
21
22
23 **Q: What are your general concerns about the Project?**

24
25 **A:** Although there may be little to no fish presence information in some Yakima River
26 tributary streams (particularly unnamed streams), it is critically important to view all

1 streams (fish-bearing or not) as an integral part of the Yakima River ecosystem. They are
2 part of the hydraulic flow regime and contribute vital minerals, nutrients and aquatic
3 insect production to the Yakima River, as well as permanent or seasonal fish use.

4 Of particular concern is the proximity of the proposed pipeline to Keechelus Lake in the
5 Snoqualmie Pass area and to the upper Yakima River in the Kittitas valley. The pipeline
6 borders the southern shore of Keechelus Lake before it proceeds in an easterly direction
7 along the Yakima River corridor where it will eventually cross the river. Throughout this
8 route the pipeline will traverse numerous tributaries of Keechelus Lake and the Yakima
9 River. This is a major concern, given the current depressed, sensitive and threatened
10 condition of anadromous and resident fish in the area. Keechelus Lake contains an
11 isolated stock of bull trout in very critical condition due to low population abundance
12 (WDFW, 1998). Pygmy whitefish (a state sensitive species) also inhabit the lake. Bull
13 trout are present in the mainstem Yakima River and have been encountered in some
14 tributaries (such as the Swauk and Teanaway system). However, they appear to be in
15 very low abundance as evidenced by fishery surveys conducted in recent years (Todd
16 Pearsons, Personal communication, WDFW).

17
18
19
20
21 A breach or break in the pipeline with the resulting spill into the Yakima River or a
22 tributary stream of the Yakima or even into the groundwater would likely be catastrophic
23 for all aquatic life (and many terrestrial forms) for many miles downstream. Even
24 without a spill, increased sedimentation from construction and operation activities will
25 affect fish life by smothering the eggs and young fry while they are in the gravel thereby
26

1 reducing the number of surviving progeny. While the direct impacts on the spawning
2 habitat may persist for only a few years, the impacts to fish stocks would persist for a
3 much longer period of time due to fewer spawning adults.
4

5
6 Potential flow alterations and de-watering of streams via trenching operations pose a very
7 real concern in tributaries of the upper Yakima. Trenches dug under or near tributary
8 streams may affect ground water hydraulics and cause streams to leak into these trenches
9 thus lowering stream flows or causing stream channels to go dry. Such a condition would
10 impose blocks to fish migration and would also strand fish, thus increasing mortality
11 rates.
12

13
14 The Yakima River basin cannot afford the impacts imposed by this pipeline, especially in
15 view of a growing list of threatened, depressed and sensitive species.
16

17 **END OF DIRECT TESTIMONY**

18 I declare under penalty of perjury that the above testimony is true and correct to the best
19 of my knowledge.

20 EXECUTED this _____ day of February, 1999.
21

22 _____
23 ERIC ANDERSON
24
25
26